

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/634,326 08/04/2003		08/04/2003	Shu Lei	5306P107	6782	
8791	7590	01/04/2005		EXAMINER		
BLAKELY	Y SOKOI	LOFF TAYLOR &	CHOW, CHIH CHING			
12400 WIL SEVENTH		OULEVARD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LOS ANGE	ELES, CA	90025-1030		2122		
				DATE MAIL ED: 01/04/2004	ς .	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	;	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/634,32	26	LEI ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Chih-Chin	g Chow	2122					
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communic	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	Idress				
A SHO THE N - Extens after S - If the s - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC sions of time may be available under the provisions of tild (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) aperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply with ply received by the Office later than three months after digital patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no everication.  days, a reply within the state tory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the apple.	ent, however, may a reply be tin utory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	mely filed  ys will be considered time in the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status			,						
2a)☐ 3)☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 August 2003</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition	on of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□									
Application	on Papers								
10)⊠ 1	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 December 2</u> Applicant may not request that any objecti Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to be	2 <u>003</u> is/are: a)⊠ are on to the drawing(s) be ne correction is require	ne held in abeyance. Se ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
2) Notice 3) Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO) No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal f 6) Other:		O-152)				

Art Unit: 2122

### DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is responsive to the application filed on August 04, 2003.
- 2. The priority date considered for this application is June 30, 2003, which is the filing date of the provisional application no. 60/484,026.
- 3. Claims 1-28 have been examined.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 102

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 4. Claims 1-9, 11-17, 19-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 6,754,885 by Steven Dardinski (hereinafter "Dardinski").

#### CLAIM

- 1. A method comprising:
- (a) receiving a configuration for a user interface of an application;
- (b) determining a set of parameters corresponding to the configuration; and
- (c) dynamically generating user interface display code based upon the set of configuration parameters.
- 2. The method of claim 1 further comprising:

## Dardinski

In Dardinski, column 15, lines 54-56, under 1.1.3, "The Parameter Definition Editor is an interface which allows **Parameter Definitions to be created** for a Parameterized Object." - here the 'parameterized object' can be the user interface display code for an user interface of an application, the parameters are used to configure the user interface, e.g. the position, size, and other attributes.

For the feature of claim 1 see claim 1 rejection. In Dardinski, column 108, lines

Art Unit: 2122

transmitting the user interface display code to a client digital processing system in response to a request to access the application.

- 3. The method of claim 1 wherein the configuration for the user interface is determined by selecting one or more objects and positioning each object in a desired location of a free-form grid layout.
- 4. The method of claim 2 wherein the request is communicated through the Internet and the user interface display code is hyper text markup language code.

48-49, "The Download Agents rely on Download Servers to transmit th information to the target systems (client digital processing system)." - the parameters can be transmitted to the client(s), the client/server transmitting needs to via Internet.

For the feature of claim 1 see claim 1 rejection. In Dardinski, FIG. 38 depicts a sheet template editor in a system according to the invention; also in Dardinski, column 100, lines 1-3, "The user interface is provided as an IDA Grid Editor view (free-form grid layout)."

For the feature of claim 2 see claim 2 rejection. The HTML (hypertext markup language) is well-known as a language that defines structure and layout of an application user interface for the people in the art, see BACGROUND, paragraph 003 in the current application, "The application is created using an authoring language (e.g. HTML) that defines the structure and layout of the application UI. ... Typical web-based applications are presented using a client/server programming model. In such a model, an application provider provides the application on a server digital processing system ('DPS'), and an end-user of the application access the application via a client DPS. For example, for web-based applications, the server DPS houses a

Art Unit: 2122

5. The method of claim 1 wherein the one or more objects are selected using a user input device and each selected object is positioned by dragging the object to a desired location of the free-form grid layout.

- 6. The method of claim 1 wherein positioning an object in a desired location of a free-form grid layout includes indicating a desired size for the object.
- 7. The method of claim 1 wherein indicating a desired size for the object includes selecting a perimeter of the object at a first location on the free-form grid layout and dragging the perimeter to a second location on the free-form grid layout.

program that provides requested HTML to a client DPS when requested."

For the feature of claim 1 see claim 1 rejection. See Dardinski, column 17, lines 42-45, "each instance of the Object Type hierarchy which serves as a reference for a Typed Object requires a definition reference to the defining Parameterized Object (position can be included) which defines that Typed Object. This relationship provides quick access to the definition object when a symbolic representation of that definition is dragged and dropped (dragging the object to a desired location) into a view." - in order to do the drag and drop, there must be a user input device (possibly a mouse) to do it.

For the feature of claim 1 see claim 1 rejection. See Dardinski, column 3, lines 25-27, "The placeholder objects identify the sizes, locations, colors, etc., of the icons used in the editor to represent the configurable objects."

For the feature of claim 1 see claim 1 rejection. For the rest of the feature of claim 7, see claim 5, and 6 rejections.

Art Unit: 2122

8. The method of claim 4 wherein the hyper text markup language code is dynamically generated based upon the set of configuration parameters and based upon an origin of the request.

9. The method of claim 1 wherein the free-form grid layout comprises a plurality of grid cells and the set of parameters includes information indicating the position of each object in reference to one or more of the plurality of grid cells.

For the feature of claim 4 see claim 4 rejection. Since the html defines the structure and the layout of an application, it's definitely dynamically generated based upon user's request.

For the feature of claim 1 see claim 1 rejection. See FIG. 38, it contains a plurality of grid cells. The parameters are discussed in claim 1 rejection.

## 11. A system comprising:

a server digital processing system having a storage, the storage containing a set of configuration parameters corresponding to a configuration of a user interface of an application;

one or more client digital processing systems coupled to the server digital processing system capable of requesting access to the application such that the request causes the server digital processing system to dynamically generate user interface display code based upon the set of configuration parameters.

In Dardinski, Figure 40, Dardinski's disclosure has database to store the configuration parameters. Again in Dardinski column 108, lines 48-49, "The Download Agents rely on Download Servers to transmit the information to the target systems" - this implies that the server will transmit the requested application to the client systems.

12. The system of claim 11 wherein the client digital processing system is coupled to the server digital processing system through the Internet and the user interface display code is hyper text markup language code.

For the feature of claim 11 see claim 11 rejection. For the rest of the feature see claim 2 and 4 rejections.

Art Unit: 2122

13. The system of claim 11 wherein the configuration is determined by selecting one or more objects and positioning each object in a desired location of a freeform grid layout.

For the feature of claim 11 see claim 11 rejection. For the rest of claim 13 feature, see claim 5 rejection.

14. The system of claim 11 wherein positioning an object in a desired location of a free-form grid layout includes indicating a desired size for the object.

For the feature of claim 11 see claim 11 rejection. For the rest of claim 14 feature, see claim 5 and 6 rejections.

15. The system of claim 11 wherein indicating a desired size for the object includes selecting a perimeter of the object at a first location on the freeform grid layout and dragging the perimeter to a second location on the free-form grid layout.

For the feature of claim 11 see claim 11 rejection. For the rest of claim 15 feature, see claim 5 rejection (for drag and drop, you need to select first location then to a second location).

16. The system of claim 14 wherein the hyper text markup language code is dynamically generated based upon the set of configuration parameters and based upon an origin of the request.

For the feature of claim 14 see claim 14 rejection. For the rest of claim 16 feature, see claim 8 rejection.

17. The system of claim 11 wherein the free-form grid layout comprises a plurality of grid cells and the set of parameters includes information indicating the position of each object in reference to one or more of the plurality of grid cells.

For the feature of claim 11 see claim 11 rejection. See Figure 38, in Dardinski's disclosure, it allows user to enter more than on grid cells and the set of selected parameters is recorded.

19. A machine-readable medium that

For item (a), see Darkinski, Figure 11,

Art Unit: 2122

provides instructions, which when executed by a processing system, cause the processing system to perform a method comprising:

- (a) accessing a generic layout file for a user interface of an application, the generic layout file having a free-form grid layout and a set of objects;
- (b) creating a configuration for a user interface of an application;
- (c) determining a set of parameters corresponding to the configuration; and
- (d) dynamically generating user interface display code based upon the set of configuration parameters.

20. The machine-readable medium of claim 19 further comprising:

transmitting the user interface display code to a client digital processing system in response to a request to access the application.

- 21. The machine-readable medium of claim 19 wherein the configuration for the user interface is determined by selecting one or more objects and positioning each object in a desired location of a free-form grid layout.
- 22. The machine-readable medium of claim 20 wherein the request is communicated through the Internet and the user interface display code is hyper text mark up language code.

and column 15, lines 62-64, "a g n ric view pan which the application programmer can use for just about anything--e.g., a graphical "canvas", or a grid control able to display data in a spreadsheet-like format". For item (b)-(d), see claim 1 rejection.

For the feature of claim 19 see claim 19 rejection. For the rest of claim 20 feature, see claim 2 rejection.

For the feature of claim 19 see claim 19 rejection. For the rest of claim 21 feature, see claim 3 rejection.

For the feature of claim 20 see claim 20 rejection. For the rest of claim 22 feature, see claim 4 rejection.

Art Unit: 2122

23. The machine-readable medium of claim 19 wherein the one or more objects are selected using a user input device and each selected object is positioned by dragging the object to a desired location of the free-form grid layout.

For the feature of claim 19 see claim 19 rejection. For the rest of claim 23 feature, see claim 5 rejection.

24. The machine-readable medium of claim 19 wherein positioning an object in a desired location of a free-form grid layout includes indicating a desired size for the object.

For the feature of claim 19 see claim 19 rejection. For the rest of claim 24 feature, see claim 6 rejection.

25. The machine-readable medium of claim 19 wherein indicating a desired size for the object includes selecting a perimeter of the object at a first location on the free-form grid layout and dragging the perimeter to a second location on the free-form grid layout.

For the feature of claim 19 see claim 19 rejection. For the rest of claim 25 feature, see claim 7 rejection.

26. The machine-readable medium of claim 22 wherein the hyper text markup language code is dynamically generated based upon the set of configuration parameters and based upon an origin of the request.

For the feature of claim 22 see claim 22 rejection. For the rest of claim 26 feature, see claim 8 rejection.

27. The machine-readable medium of claim 19 wherein the free-form grid layout comprises a plurality of grid cells and the set of parameters includes information indicating the position of each object in reference to one or more of the plurality of grid cells.

For the feature of claim 19 see claim 19 rejection. For the rest of claim 27 feature, see claim 9 rejection.

Art Unit: 2122

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 10, 18 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,754,885 by Steven Dardinski (hereinafter "Dardinski"), in view of . US2004/0117773 by Pascal Nicolle (hereinafter "Nicolle").

#### CLAIM

10. The method of claim 9 wherein the set of parameters includes a grid coordinate specifying one of the plurality of grid cells, a column span specifying a first dimension, and a row span specifying a second dimension for each of the one or more objects.

#### Dardinski / Nicolle

For the feature of claim 9 see claim 9 rejection. See Dardinski, Abstract, "The invention provides improved apparatus for configuring process, environmental, industrial and other control systems. Such apparatus employs "appearance" objects (or other data and/or programming constructs) defining the appearance of configurable system components in graphical editors or other views in which the components may be depicted. "Placeholder" objects (or other constructs) persist the location, size, color, or other aspects of appearance defined by an appearance object for a

Art Unit: 2122

configurable component in views in which it is actually depicted." Also in Dardinski, column 37, lines 60-65, "the Sheet Template is drawn first, as a type of background, then the Placeholder **objects** associated with the document is superimposed upon the drawing surface. The Sheet Template, which is used during printing and/or print preview, is user-selectable from the Page Setup dialog." In Dardinski, column 43, lines 12-17, "Each Sheet Template object contains a reference to one or more representations (grid cells, grid coordinate) of Graphical Objects, via instances of the Abstract Placeholder class. Placeholders are used to provide the mechanism for persistent storage of the placement of various objects in the Sheet Template." Dardinski teaches all aspects of claim 10, but does not mention the 'grid coordinate' specifically. However, Nicolle teaches that feature in an analogous art. In Nicolle, paragraph 042, "Graphic coordinates are related to the position of objects in the grid of rows and columns representing a graphic." It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to supplement Dardinski disclosure of the free-form grid editor by utilizing the 'coordinates' concept taught by Nicolle, for the purpose of representing a graphic (see Nicolle, end of paragraph 42).

Art Unit: 2122

18. The system of claim 17 wherein the set of parameters includes a grid coordinate specifying one of the plurality of grid cells, a column span specifying a first dimension, and a row span specifying a second dimension for each of the one or more objects.

For the feature of claim 17 see claim 17 rejection. For the reset of the feature see claim 10 rejection.

28. The machine-readable medium of claim 27 wherein the set of parameters includes a grid coordinate specifying one of the plurality of grid cells, a column span specifying a first dimension, and a row span specifying a second dimension for each of the one or more objects.

For the feature of claim 27 see claim 27 rejection. For the rest of claim 28 feature, see claim 10 rejection.

### Conclusion

The following summarizes the status of the claims:

35 USC § 102 rejection: Claims 1- 9, 11-17, 19-27.

35 USC § 103 rejection: Claims 10, 18, 28

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chih-Ching Chow whose telephone number is 571-272-3693. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00am - 3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Dam can be reached on 571-272-3695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2122

Page 12

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chih-Ching Chow

Examiner

Art Unit 2122

CC

ANTONY NGUYEN-BA PRIMARY EXAMINER

Horangen antony hyungen Ba